



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/437,580	11/09/1999	ALEXANDER G. MACINNIS	36101/SAH/B6	8182
23363	7590 06/28/2004		EXAM	INER
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2674	30
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/437,580	MACINNIS ET AL.			
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
_	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendment	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE. pplication. A proper reply to a which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)	l			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing do					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the correspondir of the shortened statutory period fo rffice later than three months after t	ng amount of the fee. The appropriate extension reply originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellang 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s :				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)			
10. Other:	, ,				

Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2674





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Sokawa et al teaches

recited fig. 12, in col. 22, lines 58-61, the write pointer Pw returns to the head position of the first input buffer portion which is now vacant (a field for a blanking out pixel value as claimed).

recited fig. 23, in col. 28, lines 64-67, a portion d which is overlaps the tail portion of the former half VSi by a predetermined number of pixels (e.g. 10 pixels, a numerical value pixel as claimed) is added to the head of the latter half VSj (a header data packet as claimed).

Thus, the teaching of Sokawa meets the claimed limitation "receiving a header data packet that includes a field for a blank start pixel value, which is a numerical value that indicate a number of pixels to be blanked out."